UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,890	11/28/2005	Richard Norman Johnson	9062-000174/US/NP	6109
	7590 12/17/200 CKEY, & PIERCE, P.J	EXAMINER		
7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400			SPEER, TIMOTHY M	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence		10/531,890	JOHNSON, RICHARD NORMAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Timothy M. Speer	1794			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	dv 2008				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
′=	,—		secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	.0 0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,9,10,12-27 and 30-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,9,10,12-27 and 29-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 08/27/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/27/08 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12-15, 19-21, 27, 30-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda (EP 0945916) in view of Alderson (US 5,817,583). The discussion of Maeda set forth in the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at page 3 is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Meada fails to teach carbonyl iron, teaching ferrites instead. Alderson teaches the functional equivalence of carbonyl iron and ferrite as an EM shielding material (abstract). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ carbonyl iron in the article of Maeda, since Alderson teaches that carbonyl iron is a functional equivalent of the ferrite disclosed by Maeda.
- 4. Claims, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda in view of Alderson and further in view of McCullough (US 2002/0014748).

 Maeda in view of Alderson was discussed above. Regarding McCullough, the rationale set forth in the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at page 5, first full paragraph is incorporated

Art Unit: 1794

herein by reference. Accordingly, the claims are considered obvious in view of the applied combination of references.

- 5. Claims, 10, 12-15, 22, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda in view of Alderson and further in view of Nakamura. Maeda in view of Alderson was discussed above. Regarding Nakamura, the rationale set forth in the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6 is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the claims are considered obvious in view of the applied combination of references.
- 6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda in view of Alderson and further in view of Yenni (US 6,090,728). Maeda in view of Alderson was discussed above. Regarding Yenni, the rationale set forth in the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at page 6, first full paragraph, is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the claims are considered obvious in view of the applied combination of references.
- 7. Claims 22, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda in view of Alderson and further in view of Nakamura (US 5,841,067).

 Maeda in view of Alderson was discussed above. Regarding Nakamura, the rationale set forth in the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the claims are considered obvious in view of the applied combination of references.
- 8. Claims 22, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda in view of Alderson and further in view of Ogihara (US 4,299,873). Maeda in view of Alderson was discussed above. Regarding Ogihara, the rationale set forth in

Art Unit: 1794

the Office Action dated 01/07/08 at page 5, first full paragraph is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the claims are considered obvious in view of the applied combination of references.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 03/31/08 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Speer whose telephone number is (571)272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,890 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L. Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy M. Speer/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794